

# Appropriations Project Request - Fiscal Year 2020-21

## For projects meeting the Definition of House Rule 5.14

1. Title of Project: Sanford Nutrient Reduction - Lakes Monroe and Jesup
2. Date of Submission: 11/13/2019
3. House Member Sponsor: Scott Plakon  
Members Copied:

### 4. DETAILS OF AMOUNT REQUESTED:

- a. Has funding been provided in a previous state budget for this activity? Yes  
***If answer to 4a is "No" skip 4b and 4c and proceed to 4d, Col. E***
- b. What is the most recent fiscal year the project was funded? 2019-20
- c. Were the funds provided in the most recent fiscal year subsequently vetoed? No
- d. Complete the following Project Request Worksheet to develop your request:

FY:	Input Prior Year Appropriation for this project for FY 2019-20 <i>(If appropriated in 2019-20 enter the appropriated amount, even if vetoed.)</i>			Develop New Funds Request for FY 2020-21 <i>(Requests for additional RECURRING funds are prohibited.)</i>		
Column:	A	B	C	D	E	F
Funds Description:	Prior Year Recurring Funds	Prior Year Nonrecurring Funds	Total Funds Appropriated  <i>(Recurring plus Nonrecurring: column A + column B)</i>	Recurring Base Budget  <i>(Will equal non-vetoed amounts provided in Column A)</i>	<b>Additional Nonrecurring Request</b>	<b>TOTAL Nonrecurring plus Recurring Base Funds</b>  <i>(Will equal the amount from the Recurring base in Column D plus the Additional Nonrecurring Request in Column E.)</i>
Input Amounts:		750,000	750,000		750,000	750,000

5. Are funds for this issue requested in a state agency's Legislative Budget Request submitted for FY 2020-21? No
  - 5a. If yes, which state agency?
  - 5b. If no, which is the most appropriate state agency to place an appropriation for the issue being requested? Department of Environmental Protection
  - 5c. Has the appropriate state agency for administering the funding, if the request were appropriated, been contacted? Yes
  - 5d. Describe penalties for failing to meet deliverables or performance measures which the agency should provide in its contract to administer the funding if appropriated.

revocation of funds

6. Requester:

- a. Name: William Marcous
- b. Organization: City of Sanford
- c. Email: WILLIAM.MARCOUS@Sanfordfl.gov
- d. Phone #: (407)688-5105

7. Contact for questions about specific technical or financial details about the project:

- a. Name: William Marcous
- b. Organization: City of Sanford
- c. Email: WILLIAM.MARCOUS@Sanfordfl.gov
- d. Phone #: (407)688-5105

8. Is there a registered lobbyist working to secure funding for this project?

- a. Name: Oscar Anderson
- b. Firm: Southern Strategy Group
- c. Email: anderson@sostrategy.com
- d. Phone #: (407)247-3000

9. Organization or Name of entity receiving funds:

- a. Name: City of Sanford
- b. County (County where funds are to be expended): Seminole
- c. Service Area (Counties being served by the service(s) provided with funding): Seminole

10. What type of organization is the entity that will receive the funds? (Select one)

- For Profit
- Non Profit 501(c) (3)
- Non Profit 501(c) (4)
- Local Government
- University or College
- Other (Please describe)

11. What is the specific purpose or goal that will be achieved by the funds being requested?

Reduction of infiltration and inflow (I/I) of storm-water by improving aged sewer system infrastructure and reducing the impact on the wastewater treatment plant. The results of the 2019 I/I evaluation for the City identified that during the wet season storm events approximately 1% of the influent to the North Plant was discharged to St Johns River.

12. Provide specific details on how funds will be spent. (Select all that apply)

Spending Category	Description	Nonrecurring (Should equal 4d, Col. E) Enter "0" if request is zero for the category
Administrative Costs:		
<input type="checkbox"/> a. Executive Director/Project Head Salary and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> b. Other Salary and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> c. Expense/Equipment/Travel/Supplies/Other		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d. Consultants/Contracted Services/Study	Consultant fees for quality assurance support	30,000
Operational Costs:		
<input type="checkbox"/> e. Salaries and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> f. Expenses/Equipment/Travel/Supplies/Other		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> g. Consultants/Contracted Services/Study	Pipeline Design, Bidding Support for Phase 1	100,000
Fixed Capital Construction/Major Renovation:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> h. Construction/Renovation/Land/Planning Engineering	Construct Sewer lining within existing sewer system piping	620,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>750,000</b>

13. For the Fixed Capital Costs requested with this issue (In Question 12, category "h. Fixed Capital Outlay" was selected), what type of ownership will the facility be under when complete? (Select one correct option)

For Profit

Non Profit 501(c) (3)

Non Profit 501(c) (4)

Local Government (e.g., police, fire or local government buildings, local roads, etc.)

State agency owned facility (For example: college or university facility, buildings for public schools, roads in the state transportation system, etc.)

Other (Please describe)

14. Is the project request an information technology project?

15. Is there any documented show of support for the requested project in the community including public hearings, letters of support, major organizational backing, or other expressions of support?

Yes

15a. Please Describe:

During storm events there were several sanitary sewer overflows (SSO) that impacted the City's residents and the amount of discharge to the river which is within the Middle Basin, an impaired body of water prioritized by the St. River Water Management District (SJRWMD) SWIM Act of 1987.

16. Has the need for the funds been documented by a study, completed by an independent 3rd party, for the area to be served?

Yes

16a. Please Describe:

The City's consultant performed an evaluation of the infrastructure and developed four priority areas to be rehabilitated. Due to continuous upgrading of the infrastructure since the storm event of 2004 discharge to Lake Monroe has decreased. Report reflecting the evaluations and presented at WEFTEC 2014.

17. Will the requested funds be used directly for services to citizens?

18. What benefits or outcomes will be realized by the expenditure of funds requested? (Select each Benefit/Outcome that applies)

Benefit or Outcome	Provide a specific measure of the benefit	Describe the method for measuring level
--------------------	---	---

	or outcome	of benefit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve physical health	Elimination of potential sewer back flow into residents homes.	No overflows, reducing impact of contamination for the City's residents. as well as surface water discharge to the river
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve mental health		
<input type="checkbox"/> Enrich cultural experience		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve agricultural production/promotion/education		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve quality of education		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enhance/preserve/improve environmental or fish and wildlife quality	Will assist to improve surface water quality within the Middle Basin, an "impaired body of water" prioritized by the St. River Water Management District (SJRWMD) SWIM Act of 1987	Reduction in discharge to lake Monroe resulting in reduction in nutrient discharge.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protect the general public from harm (environmental, criminal, etc.)	By reducing sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs)	Reduction in discharge to lake Monroe resulting in reduction in nutrient discharge. Improved lake water quality, improved recreational use of the lake, quality of life for area residents.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve transportation conditions	During storm events the SSO impacted travel within the City due to flooding	During storm events the SSO impacted travel within the City due to flooding.
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase or improve economic activity		
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase tourism		
<input type="checkbox"/> Create specific immediate job opportunities		

<input type="checkbox"/> Enhance specific individual's economic self sufficiency		
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduce recidivism		
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduce substance abuse		
<input type="checkbox"/> Divert from Criminal/Juvenile justice system		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve wastewater management	The combinations of operating budget funds, state loans and grants have led to significant improvements in the sanitary sewer collection system. In fact, I/I has been reduced by about 2.1 MGD from 2004 to 2012 and a total of about 3 MGD of plant and system capacity during the wet season has been recaptured	This extra capacity will assist to accommodate future growth. The estimated value of this recaptured capacity is approximately \$30 million based on a national average of about \$10 million
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve stormwater management		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve groundwater quality		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve drinking water quality		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve surface water quality	Reduced nutrient loading into the Middle Basin. In 2019 approximately 1% of the influent to the North Plant was discharged to the river due to storm events.	Reduction in discharge to Lake Jessup and Lake Monroe Watersheds.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Please describe): improve quality of life	The City is considered a distressed community so all the City's residents will be impacted by the infrastructure improvements.	decreased discharge

19. Provide the total cost of the project for FY 2020-21 from all sources of funding (Enter "0" if amount is zero):

Type of Funding	Amount	Percent of Total	Are the other sources of
-----------------	--------	------------------	--------------------------

			funds guaranteed in writing?
1. Amount Requested from the State in this Appropriations Project Request:	750,000	90.9%	N/A
2. Federal:	0	0.0%	No
3. State: (Excluding the requested Total Amount in #4d, Column F)	0	0.0%	No
4. Local:	75,000	9.1%	Yes
5. Other:	0	0.0%	No
TOTAL	825,000	100%	

20. Is this a multi-year project requiring funding from the state for more than one year?

Yes

20a. How much state funding would be requested after 2020-21 over the next 5 years?

- <1M
- 1-3M
- >3-10M
- >10M

20b. How many additional years of state support do you expect to need for this project?

- 1 year
- 2 years
- 3 years
- 4 years
- >= 5 years

20c. What is the total project cost for all years including all federal, local, state, and any other funds? Select the single answer which best describes the total project cost. If funds requested are for ongoing services or for recurring activities, select "ongoing activity".

- Ongoing activity – no total cost
- <1M

- 1-3M
- >3-10M
- >10M

21. What is the revenue source of ongoing operating funds?

sewer rates

22. Has local approval been given for ongoing operating funds?

Yes

23. Have you applied for alternative state funding?

- a. Wastewater Revolving Loan
- b. Drinking Water Revolving Loan
- c. Small Community Wastewater Treatment Grant
- d. Other (Please describe)
- e. N/A

24. Has project been addressed in a local, regional, or state plan?

Yes

24a. If Yes, insert plan name and cite page numbers.

Attacking I/I and Persistence to Protect Lake Monroe: From Smart Planning to Successful Results - WEFTEC 2014 document presented by the City of Sanford and Brown and Caldwell, used to document previous work and plan for continuation of work. A 2019 document updating priority areas. Both documents available upon request

25. Is the project for a financially disadvantaged community? (as defined in Chapter 62-552, F.A.C.)

Yes

26. What is the population economic status?

- a. Financially Disadvantaged Municipality
- b. Rural Area of Critical Economic Concern
- c. Rural Community Experiencing Economic Distress
- d. N/A

27. What is the status of planning?

- a. Ready

b. Not Ready

28. What percentage of the planning process has been completed?

75%

29. What is the estimated planning completion date?

01/01/2020

30. What is the status of design?

a. Ready

b. Not Ready

31. What percentage of design has been completed?

10%

32. What is the estimated design completion date?

07/01/2020

33. List all required permits.

N/A - The project involves lining existing sewer pipes and rehabilitation of manholes.

34. What is the status of permitting?

a. Planned

b. Submitted

c. Received

35. What is the status of construction?

a. Ready

b. Not Ready

36. What percentage of construction has been completed?

0%

37. What is the estimated completion date of construction?

01/31/2021