

# Appropriations Project Request - Fiscal Year 2020-21

## For projects meeting the Definition of House Rule 5.14

1. Title of Project: The Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society - Kissimmee Wastewater

2. Date of Submission: 11/13/2019

3. House Member Sponsor: John Cortes

Members Copied:

### 4. DETAILS OF AMOUNT REQUESTED:

- Has funding been provided in a previous state budget for this activity? No  
*If answer to 4a is "No" skip 4b and 4c and proceed to 4d, Col. E*
- What is the most recent fiscal year the project was funded?
- Were the funds provided in the most recent fiscal year subsequently vetoed?
- Complete the following Project Request Worksheet to develop your request:

FY:	Input Prior Year Appropriation for this project for FY 2019-20 <i>(If appropriated in 2019-20 enter the appropriated amount, even if vetoed.)</i>			Develop New Funds Request for FY 2020-21 <i>(Requests for additional RECURRING funds are prohibited.)</i>		
	Column: A	B	C	D	E	F
Funds Description:	Prior Year Recurring Funds	Prior Year Nonrecurring Funds	Total Funds Appropriated  <i>(Recurring plus Nonrecurring: column A + column B)</i>	Recurring Base Budget  <i>(Will equal non- vetoed amounts provided in Column A)</i>	<b>Additional Nonrecurring Request</b>	<b>TOTAL Nonrecurring plus Recurring Base Funds</b>  <i>(Will equal the amount from the Recurring base in Column D plus the Additional Nonrecurring Request in Column E.)</i>
Input Amounts:					3,500,000	3,500,000

5. Are funds for this issue requested in a state agency's Legislative Budget Request submitted for FY 2020-21? No

5a. If yes, which state agency?

5b. If no, which is the most appropriate state agency to place an appropriation for the issue being requested? Department of Environmental Protection

5c. Has the appropriate state agency for administering the funding, if the request were appropriated, been contacted? No

5d. Describe penalties for failing to meet deliverables or performance measures which the agency should provide in its contract to administer the funding if appropriated.

Funding withheld until deliverables are met.

6. Requester:

- a. Name: Mark Barglof
- b. Organization: The Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society - Kissimmee Village
- c. Email: mbarglof@good-sam.com
- d. Phone #: (407)518-2880

7. Contact for questions about specific technical or financial details about the project:

- a. Name: David Hamstra, P.E., CFM
- b. Organization: Pegasus Engineering, LLC
- c. Email: david@pegasusengineering.net
- d. Phone #: (407)992-9160

8. Is there a registered lobbyist working to secure funding for this project?

- a. Name: Dan Holdhusen
- b. Firm: The Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society
- c. Email: dholdhus@good-sam.com
- d. Phone #: (605)362-3355

9. Organization or Name of entity receiving funds:

- a. Name: The Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society
- b. County (County where funds are to be expended): Osceola
- c. Service Area (Counties being served by the service(s) provided with funding): Osceola

10. What type of organization is the entity that will receive the funds? (Select one)

- For Profit
- Non Profit 501(c) (3)
- Non Profit 501(c) (4)
- Local Government
- University or College
- Other (Please describe)

11. What is the specific purpose or goal that will be achieved by the funds being requested?

The goal is to eliminate the risk of an environmental devastation similar to the one that occurred in September 2017 (Hurricane Irma). Specifically, failure of the on-site wastewater treatment facility due to flooding conditions caused extensive sewer back-ups, which contaminated Shingle Creek and the residential units located along the west side of the campus, causing over \$6.7 million in expenses without including content losses. Residents lost the vast majority of their belongings.

12. Provide specific details on how funds will be spent. (Select all that apply)

Spending Category	Description	Nonrecurring (Should equal 4d, Col. E) Enter "0" if request is zero for the category
Administrative Costs:		
<input type="checkbox"/> a. Executive Director/Project Head Salary and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> b. Other Salary and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> c. Expense/Equipment/Travel/Supplies/Other		
<input type="checkbox"/> d. Consultants/Contracted Services/Study		
Operational Costs:		
<input type="checkbox"/> e. Salaries and Benefits		
<input type="checkbox"/> f. Expenses/Equipment/Travel/Supplies/Other		
<input type="checkbox"/> g. Consultants/Contracted Services/Study		
Fixed Capital Construction/Major Renovation:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> h. Construction/Renovation/Land/Planning Engineering	The decommissioning of the onsite wastewater treatment facility in favor of connecting the GSV wastewater flows to the Toho Water Authority (TWA) municipal wastewater system and in turn receiving reclaimed water	3,500,000

	from TWA for use in property-wide irrigation use. Additionally, structurally damaged sanitary sewer laterals will be replaced, sanitary sewer manhole tops will be raised, sanitary manhole interiors will be retrofitted and manhole water-tight frames and covers will be installed.	
TOTAL		3,500,000

13. For the Fixed Capital Costs requested with this issue (In Question 12, category “h. Fixed Capital Outlay” was selected), what type of ownership will the facility be under when complete? (Select one correct option)

For Profit

Non Profit 501(c) (3)

Non Profit 501(c) (4)

Local Government (e.g., police, fire or local government buildings, local roads, etc.)

State agency owned facility (For example: college or university facility, buildings for public schools, roads in the state transportation system, etc.)

Other (Please describe)

14. Is the project request an information technology project?

15. Is there any documented show of support for the requested project in the community including public hearings, letters of support, major organizational backing, or other expressions of support?

Yes

15a. Please Describe:

The Society has garnered support of this project from the Toho Water Authority and the Osceola County Local Mitigation Strategy working group, which encompasses representatives from Osceola County (Emergency Management and Public Works), City of Kissimmee, and City of St. Cloud.

16. Has the need for the funds been documented by a study, completed by an independent 3rd party, for the area to be served?

Yes

16a. Please Describe:

The area was identified as a high environmental hazard due to past flood events. A technical memorandum entitled "Good Samaritan Village of Kissimmee Potential Utility Infrastructure Improvements" was prepared by Barnes, Ferland and Associates, Inc. (May 16, 2019). The study was requested by Pegasus Engineering, LLC. It consists of a preliminary evaluation of potential infrastructure modifications and upgrades to the existing wastewater and reclaimed water systems serving the campus.

17. Will the requested funds be used directly for services to citizens?

18. What benefits or outcomes will be realized by the expenditure of funds requested? (Select each Benefit/Outcome that applies)

Benefit or Outcome	Provide a specific measure of the benefit or outcome	Describe the method for measuring level of benefit
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve physical health		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve mental health	Eliminating the environmental contamination threat will have a direct benefit on the stress level and mental health of the residents.	Constant and direct coordination with local residents to ensure their stress level is as low as possible.
<input type="checkbox"/> Enrich cultural experience		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve agricultural production/promotion/education		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve quality of education		
<input type="checkbox"/> Enhance/preserve/improve environmental or fish and wildlife quality		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protect the general public from harm (environmental, criminal, etc.)	Prevention of reoccurring wastewater sewer back-ups into dwelling units, roadways and Shingle Creek.	Visual monitoring of the sewer system performance to ensure its optimum performance and avoidance of sewer back-ups.
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve transportation conditions		

<input type="checkbox"/> Increase or improve economic activity		
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase tourism		
<input type="checkbox"/> Create specific immediate job opportunities		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enhance specific individual's economic self sufficiency	Eliminating the sewage and flood inundation of resident living quarters will eliminate content damage (i.e., furniture, appliances, personal belongings) and will reduce/eliminate financial burden.	Visual monitoring of the sewer system lines. An optimum performance of the sewer lines will guarantee no content damage.
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduce recidivism		
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduce substance abuse		
<input type="checkbox"/> Divert from Criminal/Juvenile justice system		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve wastewater management	The decommissioning of the existing wastewater treatment plant in favor of direct connections to Toho Water Authority sewer and reclaimed lines will improve the wastewater services provided within the campus.	Continuous coordination efforts with the Toho Water Authority to ensure proper performance of wastewater and reclaimed water systems.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve stormwater management	Eliminating environmental contamination will definitely improve stormwater management as it will prevent Shingle Creek from receiving contaminated flows from the campus, as it unfortunately occurred in September 2017.	Visual Visual monitoring of the sewer system lines and Shingle Creek flood levels during flood events.
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve groundwater quality		
<input type="checkbox"/> Improve drinking water quality		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve surface water quality	The proposed project will ensure that bacterial contributions from the campus will not occur; therefore, Shingle Creek will not be detrimentally affected by environmental contamination from the campus.	Visual Visual monitoring of the sewer system lines and Shingle Creek flood levels during flood events.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Please describe): Decreased need for building reconstruction	Eliminating the potential for contamination of dwelling units will also eliminate the need for extensive reconstruction within the units, which took place in 2017.	No environmental contamination will translate into no need for dwelling unit reconstruction.

19. Provide the total cost of the project for FY 2020-21 from all sources of funding (Enter "0" if amount is zero):

Type of Funding	Amount	Percent of Total	Are the other sources of funds guaranteed in writing?
1. Amount Requested from the State in this Appropriations Project Request:	3,500,000	47.3%	N/A
2. Federal:	2,500,000	33.8%	No
3. State: (Excluding the requested Total Amount in #4d, Column F)	0	0.0%	No
4. Local:	1,400,763	18.9%	Yes
5. Other:	0	0.0%	No
TOTAL	7,400,763	100%	

20. Is this a multi-year project requiring funding from the state for more than one year?

No

21. What is the revenue source of ongoing operating funds?

## Good Samaritan Capital Reserves

22. Has local approval been given for ongoing operating funds?

No

23. Have you applied for alternative state funding?

- a. Wastewater Revolving Loan
- b. Drinking Water Revolving Loan
- c. Small Community Wastewater Treatment Grant
- d. Other (Please describe)
- e. N/A

24. Has project been addressed in a local, regional, or state plan?

No

25. Is the project for a financially disadvantaged community? (as defined in Chapter 62-552, F.A.C.)

Yes

26. What is the population economic status?

- a. Financially Disadvantaged Municipality
- b. Rural Area of Critical Economic Concern
- c. Rural Community Experiencing Economic Distress
- d. N/A

27. What is the status of planning?

- a. Ready
- b. Not Ready

28. What percentage of the planning process has been completed?

100

29. What is the estimated planning completion date?

Completed

30. What is the status of design?

- a. Ready

b. Not Ready

31. What percentage of design has been completed?

20

32. What is the estimated design completion date?

11/30/2020

33. List all required permits.

United States Army Corps of Engineers permit, Florida Department of Environmental Protection permit, Osceola County building permit.

34. What is the status of permitting?

a. Planned

b. Submitted

c. Received

35. What is the status of construction?

a. Ready

b. Not Ready

36. What percentage of construction has been completed?

0

37. What is the estimated completion date of construction?

12/31/2021